

Minutes of a Planning Meeting of Bergh Apton Parish Council held on Monday 14th February 2005 at St Peter & Paul Parish Church commencing at 7.30pm

1 Members

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Parish Members present: | Mr John Ling [Chair]; Mr Derek Blake; Mrs Jean Bobbin; Mr Chris Johnson; Mrs Sally Leigh; David Skedje |
| In attendance | No members of the public |
| Clerk | Mrs Philippa Fuller |
| Apologies | Alison Freeman |

2 Declaration of Interests

Chris Johnson declared a **prejudicial interest** on 3.1, Hall Road Thurton [family member]. There were no other Declarations of Interest.

3 Determination of Planning Applications

3.1 Planning Applications Received Week Ending 21st January 2005

Site at Rear of 8 Hall Road Thurton
2005/0105 O 34

Grid Ref: 633267 300490

Proposal: Outline permission for residential development

Applicant: Mr K J Lutkin 6 Langley Street Langley Norwich NR14 6DE

It was resolved to **refuse** the application on the grounds that the application is for new build outside the development boundary.

3.2 Planning Applications Received Week Ending 28th January 2005

Bergh Apton House, Loddon Road, Bergh Apton
2005/0133 H 23

Proposal: Proposed conversion of existing outbuildings to 2no bedroom annexe with construction of new detached garage

Applicant: Mr T Stephens Bc/o Humberts 4 Tombland Norwich NR3 1HE

Bergh Apton House, Loddon Road, Bergh Apton
2005/0134 LB 24

Proposal: Proposed conversion of existing outbuildings to 2no bedroom annexe with construction of new detached garage

Applicant: Mr T Stephens Bc/o Humberts Tombland Norwich NR3 1HE

It was resolved to **approve** the application noting that the proposal would sympathetically enhance the existing building.

3.3 Applications Received Week Ending 4th February 2005

Loke House Threadneedle Street Bergh Apton
1005/0194/H

Proposed demolition and rebuild of existing single storey side extension

Applicant: Mr & Mrs D Cooper, Loke House, Threadneedle St Bergh Apton NR15 1BJ

It was resolved to **approve** the application. It was considered that the development would improve the amenity of the house without detriment to the surroundings.

Philippa Fuller, Clerk, Monday, 07 March 2005